

**DAN MARKEL**  
**FSU College of Law**  
**425 West Jefferson Street**  
**Tallahassee, Florida 32301**  
**850-644-3831 (w)**  
**202.276.8200 (c)**  
**Markel@post.harvard.edu**  
[www.danmarkel.com](http://www.danmarkel.com) (homepage)  
<http://prawfs.com> (blog)

---

### EMPLOYMENT

**2009-**            **D’Alemberte Professor, FSU College of Law**

**2005-2009**      **Assistant Professor, FSU College of Law**

- Courses Taught: Criminal Law, Criminal Procedure: Adjudication; Sentencing Law and Policy; Punitive Damages Seminar
- Recipient of FSU FYAP Research Fellowship (2006) (university-wide competitive academic grant)
- Service: Faculty Enrichment Committee(FSU); Student Affairs Committee (FSU); Academic Waiver Committee (FSU); Graduate Policy Committee (FSU); Admissions (FSU)
- Member, Executive Committee of AALS Section on Criminal Justice
- Visiting Professor, Bar-Ilan (May 2009); U. Miami (Fall 2006)

### SCHOLARSHIP

#### Book

1. [PRIVILEGE OR PUNISH? CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND THE CHALLENGE OF FAMILY TIES](#) (Oxford 2009) (with Jennifer M. Collins and Ethan J. Leib) (PDF available from authors)
- *Selected as the basis for a Feature in the Yale Law Journal with reviews by Professors Gerard Bradley, Alafair Burke, Alice Ristroph, & Melissa Murray*
- *Selected as the basis for a symposium in the New Criminal Law Review with reviews by Professors Doug Berman, Naomi Cahn, & Jack Chin*

#### Law Review Publications

18.     *(When) Should Family Status Matter in the Criminal Justice System?*, \_\_ NEW CRIM. L. REV. \_\_ (2010) (with Ethan J. Leib and Jennifer M. Collins)
17.     *Bentham on Stilts? The Bare Relevance of Subjectivity to Retributive Justice*, CAL. L. REV. (with Chad Flanders) (forthcoming August 2010)
16.     *Rethinking Criminal Justice and Family Status*, 119 YALE L.J. \_\_\_\_ (2010) (with Ethan J. Leib and Jennifer M. Collins).

15. [Executing Retributivism: Panetti and the Future of the Eighth Amendment](#), 103 NORTHWESTERN U. L. REV. 1163 (2009).
14. [How Should Punitive Damages Work?](#), 157 U. PA. L. REV. 1383 (2009).
13. [Retributive Damages: A Theory of Punitive Damages as Intermediate Sanction](#), 94 CORNELL L. REV. 239 (2009).
12. [Voluntarism, Vulnerability, and Criminal Law: A Reply to Professors Hills and O’Hear](#), 88 B.U. L. REV. 1449 (2008) (with Ethan J. Leib and Jennifer M. Collins).
11. [Punishing Family Status](#), 88 B.U. L. REV. 1327 (2008) (with Ethan J. Leib and Jennifer M. Collins).
10. [Criminal Justice and the Challenge of Family Ties](#), 2007 U. ILL. L. REV. 1147 (with Jennifer M. Collins and Ethan J. Leib).
9. [Wrong Turns on the Road to Alternative Sanctions: Reflections on the Future of Shaming Punishments and Restorative Justice](#), 85 TEX. L. REV. 1385 (2007).
8. [Connectedness and Its Discontents: The Difficulties of Federalism and Criminal Law](#), 4 OHIO ST. J. CRIM. L. 573 (2007) (peer-reviewed); a previous version appeared in 155 U. PA. L. REV. P. 38 (2006).
7. [State, Be Not Proud: A Retributivist Defense of the Commutation of Death Row and the Abolition of the Death Penalty](#), 40 HARVARD CR-CL L. REV. 407 (2005).
6. [Against Mercy](#), 88 MINN. L. REV. 1421 (2004).
5. [Are Shaming Punishments Beautifully Retributive? Retributivism and the Implications for the Alternative Sanctions Debate](#), 54 VANDERBILT L. REV. 2157 (2001).
  - Cited in *United States v. Gementera*, 379 F. 3d 596 (9th Cir. 2004), and LAFAVE, SUBSTANTIVE CRIMINAL LAW
4. [Can Intellectual Property Law Regulate Behavior? A “Modest Proposal” for Weakening Unclean Hands](#), 113 HARV. L. REV. 1503 (2000).
  - Cited, *inter alia*, in NIMMER ON COPYRIGHT
3. [The Justice of Amnesty? Towards A Theory of Retributivism in Recovering States](#), 49 U. TORONTO L.J. 389 (1999) (peer-reviewed journal).
  - Cited, *inter alia*, by Justice Albie Sachs of the South African Constitutional Court
2. Review Essay, [Ethics and Authority in International Law](#), 10 EUROPEAN J. INT’L L. 200 (1999) (peer-reviewed journal).
1. Recent Case, [United States v. DeZarn](#), 112 HARV. L. REV. 1783 (1999).

## EDUCATION

**Harvard Law School**, J.D., 2000-01.

- Graduated with honors
- Editor, Harvard Law Review (Volumes 112-13)

- Olin Fellow, Harvard Law School
- Resident tutor in law and government, Lowell House, Harvard College

**University of Cambridge**, M.Phil., 1997.

- Graduated with high honors in political thought and intellectual history
- Cambridge Overseas Trust Scholar; Emmanuel College Research Scholar; Pechet Scholar
- Thesis: The Biblical Criticism of Thomas Hobbes's *Leviathan* (Prof. Quentin Skinner, supervisor)

**The Hebrew University of Jerusalem**, Visiting Graduate Fellow, 1996.

- Harvard University Visiting Fellowship
- Dorot Foundation Fellowship

**Harvard College**, A.B., 1995.

- Graduated Phi Beta Kappa and Magna Cum Laude in Government
- John Harvard Scholarship for Highest Academic Distinction
- Allston Burr Senior Tutor Prize, Lowell House
- Rosovsky Fellow, Center for Middle East Studies
- Undergraduate Fellow, Center for International Affairs

## **SOME WORKS IN PROGRESS (OR ANTICIPATED...)**

### Books

#### FIXING PUNITIVE DAMAGES

This book will try to coherently tie together the four articles on civil damages I've published or will soon be submitting for publication.

#### RETHINKING RETRIBUTION

Over the last ten years, and across approximately ten law review articles, I've been writing about punishment theory and its implications for an array of policy choices. This book will try to revise and restate the core account of retributive theory at the heart of those works and to do so in a way that can connect not only to students and teachers of law and philosophy, but also beyond. The book would also aim at three substantial interventions. First, it replies to the trenchant objections against retributivism found in recent welfare economics literature. Second, it illuminates the often obscured role of ex ante decision-making to retributivist thinking. Third, by highlighting that role, the paper explains how to reduce (at least in part) the degree of separation between proponents of retribution and deterrence in policy terms.

#### LUCK OR LAW? THE UNEASY CONSTITUTIONAL CASE AGAINST INDETERMINATE SENTENCING

In the majority of states, indeterminate sentencing schemes prevail, under which the decision for whether a person serves one year or a life in prison for a crime is left to the unfettered discretion of a judge. Since the Supreme Court decided *Booker* and rendered the federal sentencing guidelines "effectively advisory," many state courts have read *Booker* to lend an imprimatur upon their indeterminate sentencing schemes. Thus, in a majority of jurisdictions, virtually no restraints upon judicial and/or executive discretion exist to ensure that

similarly situated offenders convicted of similar criminal conduct will, within the same sovereign jurisdiction, receive punishments that are roughly similar to each other.

This project examines the case for, and the implications of, a finding that indeterminate sentencing schemes are impermissible under the federal Constitution. Looking at the history of the Framing Period and selected areas of the Supreme Court's jurisprudence over the last forty years, this work explicates the constitutional restraints on arbitrary and/or discriminatory distributions of penalties, and finds little persuasive basis for the continued survival of indeterminate sentencing schemes. To the extent the Supreme Court is reluctant, as a matter of institutional competence, to make that finding explicit, the project explores whether and how legislators at the federal and state levels can shoulder their co-equal burden of implementing the Constitution's commitment to restraining the random, arbitrary, or discriminatory imposition of punishment.

### Articles and Essays

#### *Taxing Punitive Damages (with Gregg Polsky)*

In this Article, we make a number of arguments concerning the important but under-examined issues associated with taxing punitive damages. We begin by first demonstrating how current tax law, which allows a deduction for punitive damages as a business expense, causes defendants in business-related cases to be “under-punished”—at least, relative to jury intentions. To solve this under-punishment problem, many scholars and policymakers have proposed making punitive damages nondeductible in all cases. In our view, however, a federally imposed nondeductibility rule, notwithstanding its theoretical elegance, would likely be ineffective in solving the problem. This is because litigants could often circumvent the nondeductibility rule by disguising punitive damages as compensatory damages in pre-verdict settlements. Instead, we think the under-punishment problem can be better addressed at the state level by making juries “tax aware.” Tax-aware juries would adjust the amount of punitive damages to impose the desired after-tax cost to the defendant. For reasons we explain, the tax awareness solution avoids the risks of circumvention by altering the incentives the parties have. Unfortunately, while a rule of tax awareness would best solve the under-punishment problem, it does so at the cost of increased plaintiff windfalls. Such a trade-off can be avoided, however, with a reformed regime of punitive damages. Drawing on recent proposals (advanced by Markel) to disaggregate the purposes of punitive damages, we sketch a pluralistic vision of what that reformed extra-compensatory damages landscape would look like—and how the tax rules would correspond more effectively. On our view, the appropriate tax treatment will depend on the particular purpose such damages are meant to achieve. Thus, our recommendations can be seen as staking a middle path between those touting nondeductibility for all punitive damages and those endorsing the current rule permitting deductions of all punitive damages paid by business defendants.

#### *Punitive Damages and Complex Litigation*

Building upon the pluralistic extra-compensatory damages regime defended in earlier articles, this piece addresses some remaining policy and legal questions associated with punitive damages against entities and those engaged in complex wrongdoing within and across jurisdictional lines.

#### *Misguidedly Merciful: A Reply to Professor Meyer*

In her recent article, *The Merciful State*, Professor Linda Ross Meyer argues that a state that embraces mercy within its legal institutions of punishment can still be an attractive one worthy of approbation. Professor Meyer motivates her defense of mercy by attacking arguments I make on behalf of retributive punishment. In this Essay, I argue that Professor Meyer's criticisms are largely unfounded, consisting either of mistaken readings of what I wrote or unpersuasive challenges on the merits. Because enough mischaracterizations in Professor Meyer's article exist to raise the worry that others might understand my views contrary to how I meant them, I

respond to Professor Meyer's criticisms in a way that I hope might serve to better illuminate my account of retributive punishment and what its implications are for the role of mercy in a liberal democracy.

### *Retributive Justice in Criminal Procedure*

The tropes of retributive justice norms are thought to dominate various doctrines of substantive criminal law. This article looks at a cluster of doctrines associated with a defendant's procedural rights at adjudication and examines which of those doctrines are consistent with the animating ideals of retributive justice and which ones are not. The article then explains whether the desert-deviating doctrines are otherwise justifiable, and, if so, on what grounds.

### *Time and Punishment*

This paper examines the normative conundra associated with how the passage of time affects the institutional imposition and distribution of punishment in criminal law and procedure. Specifically, I explore what principles have been articulated by courts and other political actors to justify temporal limits on criminal responsibility such as those found in statutes of limitations; determinations of offender competence pre-, during, and post-adjudication; the effects of intervening changes in the law and related issues of retroactivity; and choices regarding consecutive and concurrent sentencing. I am chiefly interested in explaining what the time constraints are in the context of criminal law, and whether they endure bare scrutiny.

### *Is a Conspiracy Necessary to Admit Co-Conspirator Statements? A Guide for the Perplexed*

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

14. [The Duty to Rescue and the Registry for Caregivers](#), NY Times Freakonomics Blog, July 17, 2009.
13. [Sentencing Discounts for Parents?](#) New York Times Freakonomics Blog, July 15, 2009.
12. [When the Fugitive is a Family Member](#), New York Times Freakonomics Blog, July 13, 2009.
11. ["Family Values" and the Law](#), New York Times Freakonomics Blog, July 9, 2009.
10. Review, [The Indispensable Berman on Booker](#), Prawfs.com, June 26, 2006
  - Cited as persuasive authority in [United States v. Kandirakis](#), 441 F.Supp.2d 282 (D.Mass. 2006)
9. Essay, [Redemption-Based Clemency for Stanley "Tookie" Williams: The Right Action for the Wrong Reason](#), **Findlaw and CNN.com**, December 9, 2005.
8. Essay, [Innocents Lost](#), **Slate**, November 29, 2005.
  - Also appeared in Dallas Morning News, December 4, 2005.
7. Review, [Summers' Hailstorm](#), **The Jerusalem Post**, September 8, 2005.
6. Review, [A Woman of Valor](#), **The Jerusalem Post**, March 18, 2005.
5. Review, [The Dying Art of Advocacy](#), **The Jerusalem Post**, January 23, 2005.
4. Editorial, [Humiliation is simply wrong](#), **USA Today**, September 1, 2004.
3. Essay, [Dirty Shame: The Ninth Circuit's dangerous endorsement of shaming punishments](#), **The New Republic**, August 17, 2004.
2. Op-ed, [Granting Patents Can Foster Crime](#), **Nat'l L. J.** Sept. 25, 2000
1. Review, [The Impertinence of Being Earnest](#), **The Globe and Mail**, Oct. 2, 1999.

## SELECTED PRESENTATIONS

### *Taxing Punitive Damages*

Florida State University College of Law, August 2009

Canadian Law and Economics Association, September 2009  
Prawfsfest!, Southwestern Law School, December 2009

*Privilege or Punish: Criminal Justice and the Challenge of Family Ties*  
Roundtable at Law & Society, May 2009

- with Alice Ristroph, Melissa Murray, Naomi Cahn, Don Braman, and Tommy Crocker  
Roundtable at SEALS, August 2010

*Statutory Penalties and Copyright Litigation*, February 2009

- Podcast Roundtable with UCLA Professor Douglas Lichtman; Charles Nesson; Catherine Sharkey; and Thomas Colby

*Should Retributivists Care About the Subjective Experience of Punishment?*

Presentation at Law & Society, May 2009

Presentation at FSU-Prawfsfest!, May 2009

Presentation at FSU College of Law, June 2009

Presentation at Michigan State University College of Law, November 2009

Presentation at UNC-Chapel Hill School of Law, November 2009

Presentation at McGeorge Pacific Law School, December 2009

*Punitive Damages and Complex Litigation*

Presentation at University of Miami-Prawfsfest!, December 2008

*Executing Retributivism*

Presentation at Amherst College, October 2008

Presentation at FSU College of Law, August 2008

*How Should Punitive Damages Work?*

Presentation at FSU College of Law, May 2008

Presentation at Prawfsfest! at Hofstra, July 2008

Presentation at Southeastern Ass'n of Law Schools, August 2008

Presentation at Marquette Law School, September 2008

Presentation at Canadian Law and Economics Association, September 2008

Presentation at Brooklyn Law School, November 2008

Presentation at SMU-Dedman School of Law, December 2008

Presentation at University of Houston Law Center, February 2009

*Understanding Retribution and Recidivism*

Criminal Law and Philosophy Symposium, Rutgers University, May 2008

*Punishing Family Status*

Presentation at FSU College of Law's Criminal Law Working Group, October 2007

Presentation at Loyola Law School Prawfsfest!, December 2007

Presentation at Hofstra Faculty Workshop, March 2008

Presentation at U. Arizona Faculty Workshop, March 2008

*Retributive Justice in Criminal Procedure*

Presentation at Southeastern Association of Law Schools, August 2007

*Retributive Damages*

Presentation at PrawfsBlawg-UMiami Young Scholars Workshop, December 2006  
Presentation at Tel-Aviv University Faculty of Law, June 2007  
Presentation at Bar-Ilan University Faculty of Law, June 2007  
Presentation at University of Haifa Faculty of Law, June 2007  
Presentation at Law and Society, July 2007  
Presentation at FSU College of Law's Criminal Law Working Group, October 2007  
Presentation at Loyola Law School, Los Angeles, October 2007  
Presentation at University of St. Thomas, Minneapolis, October 2007  
Presentation at St. John's University School of Law, March 2008  
Presentation at Cardozo Young Law and Philosophy Scholars, May 2008

*Wrong Turns on the Road to Alternative Sanctions*

Presentation at William and Mary School of Law, November 2006

*Criminal Justice and the Challenge of Family Ties*

Presentation at Washington University School of Law Faculty Colloquium, February 2006  
Presentation at Law and Society Conference (with Jennifer Collins), July 2006  
Presentation at University of Miami School of Law Faculty Workshop, October 2006  
Presentation at Osgoode Hall Law School (York University) Faculty Workshop, October 2006  
Presentation at Boston College School of Law Faculty Workshop, October 2006  
Presentation at University of Maryland School of Law Faculty Workshop, November 2006

*Luck or Law? The Constitutional Case Against Indeterminate Sentencing*

Presentation at FSU College of Law Faculty Colloquium, June 2006  
Presentation at Southeastern Association of Law Schools, July 2006  
Presentation at Junior CrimProf Gathering, George Washington University Law School, July 2005

*Commuting Death Row: A Retributivist Defense*

Invited Presentation at 2004 Law & Society Conference with Jonathan Simon (Boalt); Austin Sarat (Amherst); and Nasser Hussain (Amherst), May 2004.

*Mercy: Private Virtue, Public Vice?*

Presentation at Boalt Hall Faculty Workshop, January 2004.  
Presentation at the University of Chicago conference on "Doing Justice to Mercy: Problems and Prospects in Criminal Justice," April 12-13, 2002.  
Presentation at the Senior Fellows Symposium, The Shalem Center in Jerusalem, March 2002.

*What's Wrong with the Welfarist Critique of Retribution?*

Presentation at the Law and Philosophy Society, Harvard Law School, May 2001.

*Tracking the Future: Emerging Legal Issues in Wireless Technology*

Presentation with Eric Saltzman at the Internet Law Institute of the National Association of Attorneys General, April 2001.

*Are Shaming Punishments Beautifully Retributive?*

Presentation at the Berkman Center Legal Theory Workshop, Harvard Law School, Feb. 2001.

## SELECTED MEDIA APPEARANCES

22. Clyde Haberman, [Is 150 Years Appropriate, or Just Silly?](#), New York Times, July 3, 2009.
21. Ashby Jones, [Go Directly to . . . Authorship? More on Judge Urbina's Odd Sentence](#), Wall St. Journal Law Blog, June 12, 2009.
20. Clyde Haberman, [Imparting Some Shame to Those Who Trade in Greed](#), New York Times, January 27, 2009.
19. Jon Marcus, [Obama Exploits Academe to Staff His White House](#), Times Higher Education, Jan. 22, 2009.
18. Joe Lawlor, [What's a Parent to Do? Escaped Convict Case Raises Questions](#), The Flint Journal, June 11, 2008.
17. Shame and the Law, [Weekday on NPR \(audiofile\)](#), February 20, 2008.
16. John Faherty, [Offenders' mugs posted to deter DUI](#), Arizona Republic, Dec. 3, 2007
15. Pallovi Gogoi, [Shaming and Shoplifting at Walmart](#), BusinessWeek, July 25, 2007.
14. Joana Dalila Santos, [Humilhacoes](#), Sabado (Portugal newsweekly), June 28, 2007.
13. Reymer Kluver, Schandlaufen vorm Supermarkt Wie US-Richter Straftater an den Pranger stellen, Sueddeutsche Zeitung (Germany), May 16, 2007, page 3.
12. The Anthony Mazzarelli Show, CBS Radio, May 8, 2007.
11. Irina Peris, [Alternative Sanctions](#), BBC Russia, October 27, 2006.
10. Erica Grieder, [Ingenious Punishments: Their Object All Sublime](#), The Economist, October 12, 2006.
9. Howard Bashman, [The Battle over the Soul of Law Professor Blogs](#), The Legal Intelligencer, May 8, 2006
8. Jeremy Hay, [Williams' Fate in Governor's Hands](#), The Press-Democrat, December 4, 2005.
7. Adam Liptak, [After 24 Years on Death Row, Clemency is Killer's Final Appeal](#), New York Times, A1, December 2, 2005.
6. Darren Everson, [No More Horsing Around](#), Slate, September 8, 2005.
5. Jeff Stryker, [Using Shame As Punishment: Have sex, get infamous](#), The San Francisco Chronicle, Sunday, March 13, 2005.
4. Interview with Heidi Collins, American Morning, CNN, October 6, 2004.
3. Interview with Bax & O'Brien, WAQY Radio, Springfield, MA, September 15, 2004.
2. Jane Eisner, [Bring back the stigma that made misbehaving a no-no](#), The Philadelphia Inquirer, March 21, 2004.
1. Richard Louv, [Welcome to the Era of the Global Pillory](#), The San-Diego Union-Tribune, September 29, 2002.

## PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS AND RECENT SERVICE

*Founder and Editor-in-Chief*, [Prawfs.com](#) (group law professor blog with ~3500 pageviews/weekday)

- *Twice selected by the ABA Journal as one of the top 100 law blogs*

*Organizer*, Prawfsfest! Conference 2006 (UMiami); 2007 (Loyola LA); 2008 (Hofstra); 2008 (UMiami); 2009 (FSU); 2009 (Southwestern); 2010 (ASU)

*Co-Organizer*,

- New Voices in Criminal Law, 2009 Law and Society (around 30 participants and 8 panels)
- Crim Shadow Conference, 2010 Law and Society

*Referee*, Legal Theory

*Referee*, Oxford University Press

*Referee*, Law and Philosophy

*Referee*, Punishment & Society

*Referee*, Studies in Law, Politics & Society  
*Referee*, Cambridge University Press  
*Referee*, Law, Culture & the Humanities

*Member* of the Bar in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; District Court of the District of Columbia; and District of Columbia Bar Association.

*Co-Chair/Committee Member*, Harvard Law School 5th Year and 10<sup>th</sup> Year Reunion Class Gift Committee  
*Young Leadership Division*, Seeds of Peace  
*Board Member*, Shomrei Torah, Tallahassee, FL  
*Interviewer*, Schools Committee of Harvard College Alumni Association

## PRIOR POSITIONS

- 2002-2005 Associate, Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd, Evans, & Figel, Washington D.C.**
- Criminal defense matters including:
    - *United States v. Gementera*, 379 F. 3d 596 (9th Cir. 2004)
      - Amicus counsel of record for law professors seeking rehearing of case permitting a shaming punishment
    - *United States v. Gordon* (9th Cir. 2004)
      - Briefed and argued cause in bank fraud and false statements case; secured remand for re-sentencing
    - *United States v. Gewin, et al.* (D.D.C. 2004)
      - Lead briefing responsibilities for over 400 pages during complex conspiracy, wire fraud, and securities fraud trial
  - Appellate Litigation
    - Briefed various cases at cert. and merits stages in U.S. Supreme Court
- 2001-02 Research Fellow, Berkman Center for Internet & Society, Harvard Law School**
- 2001-02 Law Clerk, Judge Michael D. Hawkins, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**
- 1997-2001 Teaching Fellow, Harvard University Faculty of Arts and Sciences**
- Taught philosophy of law; political theory; theism and moral reasoning
  - Senior Thesis Advisor, Government Department, Harvard College
  - Recipient of Bok Prize for Teaching Excellence, Harvard College
- 1999 Summer Associate, Mayer, Brown & Platt, Washington, D.C.**
- Drafted cert. petition for *United States v. Jones*, 178 F.3d 479 (7th Cir. 1999) (challenging federal arson statute); cert. granted, decision reversed 9-0, *see Jones v. United States*, 120 S. Ct. 1904 (2000)
- 1995-96 Legislative Aide, Dr. Naomi Chazan, M.K., Deputy Speaker, Israeli Knesset**